

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,522	26,522 07/25/2003		Yoichiro Kurita	Q76697	1128 .	
23373	7590	07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRU		PLLC IIA AVENUE, N.W.	JOHNSON, JONATHAN J			
SUITE 800		IIA A V ENOL, IV.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				1725		

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Francisco Initiata d Interniore Comme	10/626,522	KURITA ET AL.			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Johnson	1725			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Jonathan Johnson</u> .	(3)				
(2) Amy Gray.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>14 July 2005</u>	Time: <u>n/a</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: n/a					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
•					
Quater					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner clarified that the Notice of Allowance mailed on 4-18-05 has a typographical error. The CLAIM ALLOWANCE section of the DETAILED ACTION should show that claims 1-6 were allowed instead of claims 1-3. The Notice of Allowability and the Issue Classification mailed on 4-18-05 are correct..